

CTSA Consortium Oversight Committee Meeting

February 19, 2008

1:00pm-2:00pm

Link to Adobe Connect recording: <https://webmeeting.nih.gov/p74547513/>

Attendees:

L. Berglund, UC Davis; R. Califf, Duke; B. Alving, NCRR; S. Aguilar-Gaxiola, UC Davis; A. Aguirre, Columbia; F. Arnett, Texas; J. Atkinson, NIDCR; A. Austin, Ann Arbor; S. Autry, UCSF; B. Beech, Vanderbilt; G. Bernard, Vanderbilt; Z. Breyer, UCSF; D. Clauw, Ann Arbor; A. Cohen, UPenn; B. Collier, Rockefeller; E. Collier, NCRR; G. deAlmeida-Morris, NIDA; G. Farber, NCRR; R. Filart, NCRR; D. Ford, Johns Hopkins; I. Grissom, NCRR; J. Hogle, UW-Madison; G. Hunninghake, Iowa; J. Imperato-Mcginley, Cornell; K. Jensen, Rochester; R. Joskow, NCRR; D. Markel, Ann Arbor; W. Martin, NIEHS; D. McCloskey, NCRR; M. McCune, UCSF; M. McDonald, Duke; L. McHale, Columbia; L. Michener, Duke; L. Mulligan, NCRR; N. Murray, Texas; I. Obrams, NCRR; S. Olson, Mayo; E. Orwoll, OHSU; M. Packer, Dallas; K. Papp, Case Western; G. Pearson, NHLBI; H. Pincus, Columbia; K. Polonsky, Washington U; L. Ramm, NCRR; B. Rehman, Chicago; S. Reis, Pittsburgh; D. Robertson, Vanderbilt; L. Ross, Chicago; R. Rudick, Case Western; J. Sachs, NCRR; J. Sands, Emory; A. Sawczuk, NCRR; M. Sayre, NCRR; M. Scheideler, NINDS; H. Schwartz, UWashington; J. Serrecchia, Yale; D. Sheeley, NCRR; R. Sherwin, Yale; J. Solway, Chicago; B. Tai, NIDA; M. White, Ann Arbor; F. Wolf, UWashington

Report from Evaluation Steering Committee (Dr. Harold Pincus, Columbia)

Dr. Pincus, the chair of the Evaluation Steering Committee, updated the CCOC on the Committee's progress. The Evaluation Committee coordinates institutional evaluation efforts with the National CTSA Consortium Evaluation, as well as provides a forum to exchange information about institutional evaluation approaches, challenges, and progress.

Subcommittees under the Evaluation Steering Committee

- Definitions and Measurement
- Social Network Analysis
- Shared Resources

Identified three crosscutting issues for Evaluation

- IRB Issues and Evaluation
- The Role of the Evaluator
- Evaluating Indirect Benefits of the CTSA

The Madrillon Group concluded the feasibility study for process evaluation of the CTSA program. The Evaluation Committee is reviewing the study and preparing an application for NIH set aside funds to hire an evaluation expertise to refine the design and begin the actual process evaluation.

Report from Community Engagement Steering Committee (Dr. Sergio Aguilar-Gaxiola, UC Davis and Dr. Lloyd Michener, Duke)

Drs. Aguilar-Gaxiola and Michener, the co-chairs of the Community Engagement Steering Committee, updated the CCOC on the Committee's progress.

Working groups under the Community Engagement Steering Committee

- Practice Engagement
- Regional Workshops
- Community-based and Academic Practice Partnership (CBAPP)

The Committee has links to other CTSA Committees, including Ethics, Bioinformatics, Education, and Evaluation, as well as to other Agencies and Associations, including CDC, AHRQ, AAMC, APTR, and HRSA.

It was suggested that a strategy for publications should be ongoing, particularly with the findings from workshops targeting well-known journals.

Dr. Barbara Alving

Dr. Alving thanked the PIs for giving the Council presentations in January. She proposed that the PIs have opportunities to present to IC directors as well.

NCRR has distributed information to CTSA institutions that greater clarifies its funding decisions. The CTSA's were encouraged to read this information for further explanation.

Discuss PI liaisons to Steering Committees

The majority of PIs have expressed their interest of serving as a liaison to specific CTSA committees; however, there are still some groups that do not have PI liaisons at this time. A list of the committees that still need PI liaisons will be sent to all the PIs for their consideration.

NCRR will draft a paper that will give a better understanding of what a PI liaison is, and will send this to the PIs for their input. Once PIs are linked to their committees, they can serve as a bridge between their respective committee and the CCOC. Iris Abrams will help lead the policy formulation for this document.

Regulatory Knowledge Workgroup's Research Subject Advocates Taskforce has put out recommendations for CTSA. The PIs will discuss this issue at the April face to face meeting in Chicago.

Timetable for Nominating Committee

It was decided that the Operations Committee will consist of five PIs, the two CCOC co-chairs, and three representatives from NIH.

Commence planning agenda for April CCOC meeting

Proposed agenda items will be placed on the Wiki. The PIs are encouraged to provide their input to the April agenda through this forum.

The meeting adjourned at 2:00pm.

Action Items

1. A list of the committees that still need PI liaisons will be sent to all the PIs for their consideration (Hadley White)
2. NCRR will draft a paper that will give a better understanding of what a PI liaison is, and will send this to the PIs for their input (Iris Obrams)